

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,310	07/14/2003	Jennifer E. Marfino	20788.01	20788.01 4761	
7590 05/21/2004		EXAMINER			
Richard C. Litman LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD.			MOY, JOSEPH MAN		
P.O. BOX 15035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Arlington, VA 22215			3727		

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/618,310	MARFINO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph Moy	3727				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
l	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	Disposition of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
l	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
•	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						
_	S. Dalost and Tardamed Office.						

Serial Number: 10/618310

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed

publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in

public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the

United States.

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fread.

Fread shows all the structure of the device as recited by the claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for

all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set

forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Fread in view of Elliot. Fread shows all the structures of the device as recited by the

claims except the flange portion and the type of material. It would have been obvious

make the container of Fread out of any shape and well known material and to provide the

top portion of the container of Fread with flange as taught by Elliot in order to enhance

strength of the container at the weak top portion.

Claims 3-5 are objected to as depending on a rejected claim. However, they would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145.

Date: 05/17/2004

Joseph Man-Fu Moy Primary Examiner